

435934

Mr. David Ponzoa.

03/25/13

Look I sent you about 10 pages of what I felt was errors for not real good at this kind of thing I don't no much about appeals. Thank you I hope you could read my hand writing I hope I at least go back to court and have my fine law counselor I thank you again for sending my transcript.

Respects Dawn 948794

RECEIVED
MAR 27 2013
CLERK OF COURT OF APPEALS DIV I
STATE OF WASHINGTON

(1)

NO 11-1-04010-2
No 43596-9-II

on my first trial miss Hauger used the word victim to the Jury when she was instructed by the Judge not to use the word victim even though the Jury found me not guilty of Burglary and Theft miss Hauger allowed my Aunt to tell the Jury I was in and out of jail and the prosecutor used the word victim my Aunt was a Victim even though my Aunt Bea Hopkins has been in prison and has a record and lied on the stand so when she used the words victim to the Jury that should of been grounds for a mistrial and after the Judge heard her use the words victim to the Jury he still allowed the trial to continue no way did I get a fair trial The Infronating a witness and the burglary trial there should of been 2 separate trials. Seriously I should of never been in custody for a burglary I never committed they checked for my finger prints and didn't find them.

⑦

because I never went to my aunts apartment I found her keys in the ignition of her car. I spent 387 days in jail not one time did I sign a confession I wouldn't be here for kidnapping a witness if I had had not been falsely accused of a bogus burglary. I'm being shafted like already been locked up 2 years. And they gave me a exceptional sentence of 120 months. Now my sentence consecutive instead of concurrent. I'd be happy if you just ran my sentences concurrent I shouldn't even be in prison. I did not get fair trials this is not justice. They never found my finger prints inside her apartment so the prosecutor should of dropped that case. And then I'd never been charged with kidnapping a witness and assault. I'd be free like I belong my finger prints were negative to break her apartment law to prison for bogus shit. You people need to let me get new trials or at least run my time still sentence concurrent last had

(3)

Consequently In this supposed Burglary
my Aunt kept saying her purse was
stolen to the Jury over and over
She said her purse was stolen
when the officer said her purse was
there that should of been good for
a mistrial plus expert finger print
specialist said my finger prints were
not in her apartment has I accent
that trial should of been a mistrial
the officer saying I was under the
influence of narcotics all should
of been granted for a mistrial
Plus I should of got DSA when I
was sentenced I was qualified
for it. And the Judge just broke
me off 60 months and 60 months
consecutive acceptance sentence. Crazy
The court the Judge used my criminal
background to sentence me to 120 months
you should consider taking back to court
and at least burning my sentence
concurrent I should of been given
DSA the Judge just went along
with everything the prosecutor asked
for 10 fricken years no DSA
consecutive sentence when it should

(4)

of been concurrent also of my
attorney Musley would of offered
the lesser included of Infradating
a witness since my aunt never
even read the letter that Jury might
find me not guilty look like
They found all not guilty of Burglary
and Theft. I'd be out already
Please may I beg the court to have
mercy and at least take one look
to Court and hear my fine concurrent
There was no aggravating circumstance to
give me consecutive sentences without
DSSA I need the court to hear my
sentences concurrent what about my
attorney having no objection to
sentence enhancement like I got
Other things the Judge advised the
prosecutor not to bring of the incident
where prior to the supposed burglary
my Aunt was told not to bring it up
when her purse was missing and she
told the Jury that I had broke in before
this incident and stole her purse
which never happened even though
the Jury found me not guilty of
burglary and Theft I still did not receive

(8)

a fair trial also The Judge warned
miss prosecutor Houser not to use The
word Victim and the prosecutor Repeatedly
told the Juries panel that my Aunt
was a victim when that The Judge
Ruled in The beginning they would
Not use the word Victim So I
did not get a fair trial That trial
should of been bated or the case
should of been dismissed my Aunt
has a criminal history and she
was allowed to take the stand and
lie about me and assassinate assassinate
my character They Violated The Court
order and The Judge violated my
rights to a fair trial by ab violating
his own decision not to let my Aunt
bring up to the Jury that her purse
was Stolen previously to this occasion
That trial should of been a mistrial
They Violated the court order to not
bring up prior accusations I was never
charged or convicted of doing anything
ever to my Aunt prior to this trial
Plus my Aunt told the Jury that
she was in and out of jail So they
never I had a record And that

(6)

Was not fair to put a liar convicted felon and Rio been in prison on the stand to lie about my character
The Judge should of mistrialized my case
Also in the Intimidating a witness
Charge she said she never ~~had~~ ^{had} read the letter how could she possibly
be intimidated if she didn't read
the letter she turned it over to
the prosecutor my Aunt indicated that
the letter was not my hand writing
and there was not a signature on
the letter also she was never read
the letter she never felt threatened
The Judge kept ruling in favor of
the prosecutor they all knew my
Aunt owed me a lot of money and
did in court so I'd get convicted
and sent to prison so she would
not have to pay me money she and
me like it says in this transcript
my Aunt was not concerned about
her safety Also my Aunt testified
the police contacted her outside of
her apartment the cops said they
got a key from management and
contacted my Aunt then outside of her

(1)

of her apartment the cops are lying my
Aunt Bea Hopkins is lying everyone that
took the stand was lying especially my
Aunt and the cops also Tim Gordon
Hall witness said I was looking for
my next step when I was in back
knocking on her window my trial shall
of been dismissed look I know the
very determined that I did not
commit a burglary and there was
no theft I have a math problem
I would of never got the intimidation
a witness charge and custodial assault
charge if I wasn't being falsely beat
held on the big as burglary charge
look the court of appeals should take me
me and Retriue or make me a
good plea offer other then 60 and 60
120 months consecutive at least
Run my sentences concurrent he
been locked up 2 years to long
for some puffy ass letter that couldn't
even be proved I wrote and it was
not read And G.Lynn had my
trap door shut and lied about
any urine getting threw on him his
a total liar has I do not have

(8)

This time they sentences are based on
a 20 years old manslaughter conviction
and most of my convictions over the last
20 have been dropped to attempted gross
misdemeanor. I'm not a bad person I
did not get fair trials the Juries
Never had prior arrest my Aunt
continued to lie on the stand Cleo
Lyman continued to lie and change
his stories please take that in to
consideration at least bring me back
to court and have my sentence
convenant. Please I am begging you

Thank you very much

MR TEETER 978794

No 43596-9-22
No 11-104010-2

Side D

See in my Custodial assault trial
Sgt David Daniel Schultz the comment that
Mr SHAWN TEETER'S HIS mental health issues
seem to be worsening And me saying in
my initial Report on this Day in question
my 3 words I can't remember what happened
9/18/2011 These are fed flags to
my mental health condition C/o Lyman
Shut my trap door all the way the
trap door on my cell was closed and
him and Sgt Schultz said I tossed
urinal at my cell trap door when it
was closed That's impossible because
my trap door was Shut nothing got on
C/o Lyman because ^{Sgt} Schultz and C/o
Lyman made it up I also should
of Got the lessers assault 4 even though
I did not do it And Both witnesses
C/o Lyman Sgt Schultz lied on the stand
Their stories were inconsistent Lyman said
I was in front of the trap door directly in
front of the door then he said I was
10 feet away sitting on my bunk bed he
lied directly to the Jury C/o Lyman being
Nervous on the stand Threw clearing looking
down instead of at the Jury didn't know
what to say That's circumstantial evidence →

Why was he acting like that he said
1 foot away 5 feet away 8 feet
away he totally lied during his
testimony. The state did not prove beyond
a reasonable doubt that I assaulted
C/O Lynch when there is reasonable
doubt the jury by law has to circulate
not guilty or the verdict for it.
There was not evidence ^{camera} cameras tapes
pictures the officers wet clothes nothing
that trap door was closed and I never
saw nothing the trap door was ~~closed~~
closed and nothing ^{went} ~~the~~ fire flew out that trap
door I never through ^{drive} those
officers hate me and lied during
their testimony I never got a fair
trial The State Failed to prove me
Guilty beyond a reasonable doubt
you should consider my appeals
Also when they were selecting jurors
out of the 35 possibles my attorney
asked a Jury member if he thought
I was guilty just because I was sitting
in the court being accused and he
said yes and every one heard him
also one Jury was a protection officer
that new me so all the Jury

(3)

new I had a record. No I never got
a fair trial. There was know proof
that I committed a custodial assault.
I should of been found not guilty.
I should of got a concurrent sentence.
There was no circumstances to give me
a consecutive sentence. I should of been
found not guilty and got concurrent
sentences with Post.

